

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,244	09/26/2001	Mark Alan Schultz	PU010200	8587
7590 01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI			HINDI, NABIL Z	
THOMSN MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 5312			2655	
PRICENTON, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/963,244	SCHULTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NABIL Z HINDI	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, in. a reply within the statutory mining eriod will apply and will expire Statute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiren	nent.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a laim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International B	,	••			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified cop	pies not received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050105			
, ,		. and or it aport trouble bate 20000100			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,244

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated Nov 08, 2004. The following action is taken:

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed May 13, 2004 repeated herein for application's convenience.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohara et al (6097683).

Each of the independent claims is drawn to an alternative limitation "one or more of". Thus only one of the limitations is to be shown in order to meet the claimed invention. The independent claim merely read on reading the medium and if an error has occurred than the error location is stored in a primary or secondary defective lists. Such limitation is inherently present in every optical disk media having a PDL and SDL storing the location of the defective segments on the disk. The claims also read on re-recording the data on a different location if an error has occurred based on the well-established "skipping method" or the "replacement method". The reference shows an optical disk defective management apparatus comprising an optical head for reading the data on an optical medium where data is recorded, taking a corrective action if defective data is

Application/Control Number: 09/963,244

Art Unit: 2655

encountered by verifying the recorded data be re-recording the data on a different area of the disk column 15 lines 35-40.

With respect to the limitations of the dependent claims. See fig 8 steps ST7-ST10 showing the "threshold" within a predetermined range.

Applicant's arguments filed November 08, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendment broadened the claimed invention by deleting some of the original claimed limitations. Applicant's arguments are centered around the prior art not showing or teaching "modifying a selectively examining step". The limitation merely read on any step taken after the error is detected on a disk such as registering the error in a PDL (primary defective list) or SDL (secondary defective list) or moving the head to a different track...etc. The argument drawn to "re-read a number of times", "the speed of the disk can be reduced"...etc is not supported nor claimed in the claimed invention. The reference is drawn to modifying the selectively examining step by re-recording the data in a different location meeting the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2655

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (703) 308-1555.

NABIL HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2005